Background 000	Theory and Implementation	Conclusion and Discussion

Multisource Least-Squares Extended Reverse-time Migration with Preconditioning Guided Gradient Method

Yujin Liu^{[1][2]} and William W. Symes^[1]

¹TRIP, Rice University

²LEON, China University of Petroleum (Huadong)

TRIP Annual Meeting 2013

Background 000	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests 00	Conclusion and Discussion
Outline			

- ERTM vs LSERTM
- Phase Encoding
- Preconditioning
- **2** Theory and Implementation
 - ERTM with Phase Encoding
 - Preconditioner: approximated diagonal of Hessian
 - Inversion Scheme: PGG method

3 Numerical tests

• Salt Model

4 Conclusion and Discussion

$\underset{000}{\operatorname{Background}}$	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests 00	Conclusion and Discussion
Outline			

- ERTM vs LSERTM
- Phase Encoding
- Preconditioning
- 2 Theory and Implementation
 - ERTM with Phase Encoding
 - Preconditioner: approximated diagonal of Hessian
 - Inversion Scheme: PGG method
- 3 Numerical tests
 - Salt Model
- 4 Conclusion and Discussion

Background ●00	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests 00	Conclusion and Discussion
ERTM	vs LSERTM		

• ERTM (Extended Reverse-Time Migraion):

Background ●00	Theory and Implementation	Conclusion and Discussion
ERTM v	vs LSERTM	

- ERTM (Extended Reverse-Time Migraion):
 - is only adjoint of linearized extended Born Modeling (LEBM) operator

Background ●○○	Theory and Implementation	Conclusion and Discussion
ERTM .	vs LSERTM	

- ERTM (Extended Reverse-Time Migraion):
 - is only adjoint of linearized extended Born Modeling (LEBM) operator
 - provides extended image with limited resolution and imbalanced amplitudes

Background ●00	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests 00	Conclusion and Discussion
ERTM v	vs LSERTM		

- ERTM (Extended Reverse-Time Migraion):
 - is only adjoint of linearized extended Born Modeling (LEBM) operator
 - provides extended image with limited resolution and imbalanced amplitudes
- LSERTM (Least-Squares ERTM):

Background ●00	Theory and Implementation	Conclusion and Discussion
ERTM v	vs LSERTM	

- ERTM (Extended Reverse-Time Migraion):
 - is only adjoint of linearized extended Born Modeling (LEBM) operator
 - provides extended image with limited resolution and imbalanced amplitudes
- LSERTM (Least-Squares ERTM):
 - approximates inverse of LEBM operator using iteration methods

Background ●00	Theory and Implementation	Conclusion and Discussion
ERTM v	vs LSERTM	

- ERTM (Extended Reverse-Time Migraion):
 - is only adjoint of linearized extended Born Modeling (LEBM) operator
 - provides extended image with limited resolution and imbalanced amplitudes
- LSERTM (Least-Squares ERTM):
 - approximates inverse of LEBM operator using iteration methods
 - provides extended image with high resolution and balanced amplitude

Background ●00	Theory and Implementation	Conclusion and Discussion
ERTM v	vs LSERTM	

- ERTM (Extended Reverse-Time Migraion):
 - is only adjoint of linearized extended Born Modeling (LEBM) operator
 - provides extended image with limited resolution and imbalanced amplitudes
- LSERTM (Least-Squares ERTM):
 - approximates inverse of LEBM operator using iteration methods
 - provides extended image with high resolution and balanced amplitude
 - is more reliable in velocity analysis and AVO/AVA

Background ●00	Theory and Implementation	Conclusion and Discussion
ERTM v	vs LSERTM	

- ERTM (Extended Reverse-Time Migraion):
 - is only adjoint of linearized extended Born Modeling (LEBM) operator
 - provides extended image with limited resolution and imbalanced amplitudes
- LSERTM (Least-Squares ERTM):
 - approximates inverse of LEBM operator using iteration methods
 - provides extended image with high resolution and balanced amplitude
 - is more reliable in velocity analysis and AVO/AVA
 - is more expensive!

Background ○●○	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests 00	Conclusion and Discussion
Review c	of Phase Encodin	g	

Main idea: Encode all of shot gathers into one or several super-shot gathers with designed encoding functions so as to solve a smaller number of wave equations.

Background ○●○	Theory and Impler		Numerical tests 00	Conclusion and Discussion
Review of	of Phase F	Encoding	or	

Ö

Main idea: Encode all of shot gathers into one or several super-shot gathers with designed encoding functions so as to solve a smaller number of wave equations.

- Random phase encoding (Morton,1998; Romero,2000; Kreb et.al 2009; Tang, 2009; ...)
- Plane-wave phase encoding (Zhang, 2005; Liu, 2006; Tang, 2009; ...)
- Amplitude encoding (Godwin el al. 2010)
- Deterministic source encoding (Symes, 2010; Gao, 2010)
- ...

Background ○○●	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests 00	Conclusion and Discussion
Review o	f Preconditioning	r D	

Main idea: Using preconditioner to accelerate the convergent rate of iteration

Main idea: Using preconditioner to accelerate the convergent rate of iteration

- Approximated diagonal of Hessian (Pratt, 1999; Shin, 2001; Tang, 2010; ...)
- Structure-oriented filter (Prucha, 2002; Clapp, 2005)
- Deblurring filter (Aoki et al., 2009)
- Sparsity promotion (Herrmann et al. 2009)
- Image-guided filter (Ma et al., 2010)
- ...

Background 000	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests oo	Conclusion and Discussion
Outline			

- ERTM vs LSERTM
- Phase Encoding
- Preconditioning
- **2** Theory and Implementation
 - ERTM with Phase Encoding
 - Preconditioner: approximated diagonal of Hessian
 - Inversion Scheme: PGG method

3 Numerical tests

- Salt Model
- ④ Conclusion and Discussion

Background 000	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests 00	Conclusion and Discussion
Linearize	ed Born approxir	nation	

Acoustic constant density (ACD) wave equation:

$$(\nabla^2 + \omega^2 m(\mathbf{x}, z))u(\mathbf{x}, z, w) = -f(w)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s)$$
(1)

Extended ACD wave equation:

$$\nabla^2 u(\mathbf{x}, z, w) + \omega^2 \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z) u(\mathbf{y}, z, w) = -f(w)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s) \quad (2)$$

Split the extended model into two parts:

$$m(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z) = b(\mathbf{x}, z)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z)$$
(3)

Linearized approximation:

$$(\nabla^2 + \omega^2 b(\mathbf{x}, z)) u_0(\mathbf{x}, z, w) = f(w) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s)$$
(4)

$$(\nabla^2 + \omega^2 b(\mathbf{x}, z)) \delta u(\mathbf{x}, z, w) = -\omega^2 \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z) u_0(\mathbf{y}, z, w)$$
(5)

Theory and Implementation 00000000

Numerical tests

Conclusion and Discussion

LEBM operator and its adjoint

Linearized extended Born modeling (LEBM):

$$d(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega) = -\omega^2 f(\omega) \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{h} \, G(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \omega) r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega)$$

Extended reverse time migration (ERTM):

$$r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) = -\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathrm{d}\omega \,\omega^2 f^*(\omega) G^*(\mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \omega) G^*(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}_r, \omega) d(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega)$$

Theory and Implementation 00000000

Numerical tests

Conclusion and Discussion

Encoded LEBM and ERTM

• Encoding function:

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}, p_s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \gamma(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}, p_s)$$

(p_s : realization index; N: realization number; γ random sequence of signs.)

• Encoded seismic data:

$$\tilde{d}_{obs}(\mathbf{x}_r, p_s, \omega) = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}} \, \alpha(\mathbf{x}_s, p_s) d_{obs}(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega)$$

• Encoded source wavefield:

$$S(\mathbf{x}, p_s, \omega) = \int d\mathbf{x}_s \alpha(\mathbf{x}_s, p_s) f(\omega) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega)$$

Theory and Implementation 00000000

Numerical tests

Conclusion and Discussion

Encoded LEBM and ERTM

• Encoded LEBM:

$$\tilde{d}(\mathbf{x}_r, p_s, \omega) = -\omega^2 \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{h} G(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \omega) r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) S(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, p_s, \omega)$$

• Encoded ERTM:

$$r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) = -\int \mathrm{d}p_s \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_r \mathrm{d}\omega \,\omega^2 S^*(p_s, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \omega) G^*(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}_r, \omega) \tilde{d}(\mathbf{x}_r, p_s, \omega)$$

Background Theory and Implementation Numerical tests Conclusion and Discussion oo

Preconditioner: approximated diagonal of Hessian

Diagonal of Hessian in the subsurface offset domain (Valenciano, 2006):

$$D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_s \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_r \mathrm{d}\omega \,\omega^4 |f(\omega)|^2 \, |G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}_s, \omega)|^2 |G(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}_r, \omega)|^2.$$

Encode receiver wavefield with $\beta(\mathbf{x_r}, p_r) = \frac{1}{N}\gamma(\mathbf{x_r}, p_r)$,

$$R(\mathbf{x}, p_r, \omega) = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_r \,\beta(\mathbf{x}_r, p_r) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_r, \omega).$$

Encoded Diagonal of Hessian:

$$\widetilde{D}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}, p_s, p_r) = \int d\omega \, \omega^4 |S(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, p_s, \omega) R(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, p_r, \omega)|^2$$

More approximation:

$$\widetilde{D}_{SS}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}, p_s) = \int \omega \, \omega^4 |S(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, p_s, \omega)|^2 |S(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, p_s, \omega)|^2.$$

Observation:

It has shown that this approximation seems to be accurate enough as a preconditioner in least-squares inversion (Tang, 2010). Here we extend this idea into subsurface offset domain.

Theory and Implementation 00000000

Numerical tests

Conclusion and Discussion

Inversion Scheme: PGG Method

Encoded LEBM can be written in a compact form:

$\mathbf{\tilde{d}}=\mathbf{\tilde{L}m}$

Encoded Least-squares extended reverse-time migration:

$$min_{\mathbf{m}}J_{LSM}[\mathbf{m}] = \frac{1}{2} \parallel \tilde{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{m} - \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{obs} \parallel_{p} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \parallel \mathbf{m} \parallel_{p}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes ℓ^p norm with $1 \le p \le 2$.

Background	

Theory and Implementation 00000000

Numerical tests 00

Conclusion and Discussion

Inversion Scheme: PGG Method

Equivalent form:

$$min_{\mathbf{m}}J_{LSM}[\mathbf{m}] = \frac{1}{2} \parallel \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{r}}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{m} - \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_{obs}) \parallel_{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \parallel \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbf{m} \parallel_{2}$$

Methods:

- Iteratively Reweighted Least-Squares (IRLS) method (Claerbout, 1992)
 - Non-linear inverse problem
 - Need to calculate weighting matrix at the outer loop of CG
- Conjugate Guided Gradient (CGG) method (Ji, 2006)
 - A variant of IRLS
 - Linear inverse problem
 - Only one calculation of **L** and \mathbf{L}^T is needed at each iteration

• Preconditioning Guided Gradient (PGG) method

- Updated version of CGG
- Incorporates preconditioner into CGG
- Adapts to phase encoding scheme

Theory and Implementation 00000000

Numerical tests

Conclusion and Discussion

Inversion Scheme: PGG Method

Algorithm 1 MLSERTM with PGG

1: for
$$k = 0 \cdots niter$$
 do

2: generate random sequence of signs
$$\gamma$$

3: encode sources and data to get
$$\mathbf{L}$$
 and \mathbf{d}_{obs}

4:
$$\mathbf{r}^k = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{\hat{m}}^k - \mathbf{\hat{d}}_{obs}$$

5: compute
$$\mathbf{W}_r^k$$

6: compute
$$\hat{\mathbf{W}}_m^k$$

7:
$$\mathbf{dm}^{k} = \mathbf{\hat{W}}_{m}^{T,k} \mathbf{\tilde{D}}_{SS}^{-1} \mathbf{\tilde{L}}^{T} \mathbf{\hat{W}}_{r}^{T,k} \mathbf{r}^{k}$$

8:
$$\mathbf{dr}^k = \tilde{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{dm}_k^k$$

9:
$$\alpha_k = \frac{\langle \mathbf{dr}^k, \mathbf{r}^k \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{dr}^k, \mathbf{dr}^k \rangle}$$

10:
$$\mathbf{m}^{k+1} = \mathbf{m}^{k+1} - \alpha_k \mathbf{dm}^k$$

11: end for

Background	

Theory and Implementation 00000000

Numerical tests 00 Conclusion and Discussion

Outline

Background

- ERTM vs LSERTM
- Phase Encoding
- Preconditioning
- 2 Theory and Implementation
 - ERTM with Phase Encoding
 - Preconditioner: approximated diagonal of Hessian
 - Inversion Scheme: PGG method

3 Numerical tests

• Salt Model

4 Conclusion and Discussion

 Background
 Theory and Implementation
 Numerical tests
 Conclusion and Discussion

 No
 Numerical tests
 •o



 Background
 Theory and Implementation
 Numerical tests
 Conclusion and Discussion

 Note
 Numerical tests
 Onclusion and Discussion



Theory and Implementation

Numerical tests $\bullet 0$

Conclusion and Discussion

Reflectivity imaging test



 Background
 Theory and Implementation
 Numerical tests
 Conclusion and Discussion

 Numerical tests
 •o
 •o

Figure: RTM after Laplacian filter



 Background
 Theory and Implementation
 Numerical tests
 Conclusion and Discussion

 Numerical tests
 •0
 •0
 •0

Figure: Approximated diagonal of Hessian



 Background
 Theory and Implementation
 Numerical tests
 Conclusion and Discussion

 Numerical tests
 •o
 •o

Figure: LSRTM without preconditioning



 Background
 Theory and Implementation
 Numerical tests
 Conclusion and Discussion

 Numerical tests
 •o
 •o

Figure: LSRTM with preconditioning





Figure: LSRTM with preconditioning and $\ell_{1.5}$ norm on model





Figure: LSRTM with preconditioning and ℓ_1 norm on model





Figure: Approximated diagonal Hessian in subsurface offset domain





Theory and Implementation 00000000

Numerical tests

Conclusion and Discussion

Extended reflectivity imaging test

Figure: LSERTM with preconditioning





Background Theory and Implementation Numerical tests Conclusion as 000 0000000 00

Extended reflectivity imaging test

Figure: LSERTM with preconditioning and $\ell_{1.5}$ norm on model

9750



Background 000	Theory and Implementation	Numerical tests oo	Conclusion and Discussion
Outline			

- ERTM vs LSERTM
- Phase Encoding
- Preconditioning
- 2 Theory and Implementation
 - ERTM with Phase Encoding
 - Preconditioner: approximated diagonal of Hessian
 - Inversion Scheme: PGG method

3 Numerical tests

- Salt Model
- **4** Conclusion and Discussion

Background	Theory an

heory and Implementation

Numerical tests 00 Conclusion and Discussion

Conclusion and Discussion

- LSERTM provides reflectivity image with higher resolution and more balanced amplitude, which is useful in the following migration velocity analysis and AVO/AVA analysis;
- Seismic data is compressed greatly with the help of phase encoding so that the efficiency of seismic imaging is improved dramatically;
- Using approximated diagonal of Hessian as preconditioner can improve the convergent rate of LSM;
- A modified CGG inversion scheme namely PGG is proposed to solve l_p norm problem flexibly and efficiently;
- Sparsity seems to be a good prior information in suppressing crosstalk introduced by phase encoding, especially in imaging of extended reflectivity.

Background	Theory and Implementa	
000	0000000	

Numerical tests 00 Conclusion and Discussion

Acknowledgments

- Thank CSC for supporting my visit to TRIP!
- Thank TRIP for hosting me!
- Thank the sponsors of TRIP for their support!

Background	Theory and Implementation	Conclusion and Discussion

Thank you!