Jie Hou

Education

Rice University09/2012—PresentPh.D. in Geophysics, Earth Science09/2008—06/2012China University of Petroleum(East China)09/2008—06/2012B.S. in Exploration Geophysics09/2008—06/2012

<u>Research</u>

- An approximate inverse to extended born modeling operator
- Elastic wave modeling based on the decoupled equation
- Target-oriented wave-equation least-squares migration

An Approximate Inverse to Extended Born Modeling Operator

Jie Hou, William Symes

The Rice Inversion Project Annual Review Meeting

April 19,2013







2 Derivation from Ten Kroode's New Operator Pair

- 3 Numerical Test and Preliminary Result
- 4 Summary and Future Plans

TRIP

The Usual Set-up

- $\mathcal{M} = a$ set of models
- $\mathcal{D} =$ a Hilbert space of (potential) data
- Forward Map $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{D}$

Inverse Problem:

Given $d \in \mathcal{D}$, find $m \in \mathcal{M}$ so that $\mathcal{F}[m] \simeq d$

TRIP

The Usual Set-up

- $\mathcal{M} = a$ set of models
- $\mathcal{D} =$ a Hilbert space of (potential) data
- Forward Map $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{D}$

Inverse Problem:

Given $d \in \mathcal{D}$, find $m \in \mathcal{M}$ so that $\mathcal{F}[m] \simeq d$

Wave equation:

$$\frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}^{2}(\mathbf{x})}\frac{\partial^{2}\boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t^{2}}(\mathbf{x},t) - \nabla \cdot \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{x})}\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{x}_{s},t)$$

Born Approximation(Linearized Inverse Problem)

Given smooth background velocity $v(x, y, z) = v(\mathbf{x})$, seismic data $d(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s})$, find oscillatory reflectivity $r(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\delta v(\mathbf{x})}{v(\mathbf{x})}$ to fit the data:

$$F[v]r \simeq d$$

Born Modeling(Acoustic Forward Operator F[v]) $\left(\frac{1}{v^2} - \nabla^2\right) G = \delta(t)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_s}); \left(\frac{1}{v^2} - \nabla^2\right)\delta u = \frac{2r}{v^2}G$

$$F[v]r(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s}) = \delta u(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s})$$

Assumption: Single scattering at points of discontinuity of impedance in the subsurface(No multiple scattering!)

Born Approximation(Linearized Inverse Problem)

Given smooth background velocity $v(x, y, z) = v(\mathbf{x})$, seismic data $d(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s})$, find oscillatory reflectivity $r(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\delta v(\mathbf{x})}{v(\mathbf{x})}$ to fit the data:

 $F[v]r \simeq d$

Born Modeling(Acoustic Forward Operator F[v]) $\left(\frac{1}{v^2} - \nabla^2\right) G = \delta(t)\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_s}); \left(\frac{1}{v^2} - \nabla^2\right)\delta u = \frac{2r}{v^2}G$

$$F[v]r(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s}) = \delta u(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s})$$

Assumption: Single scattering at points of discontinuity of impedance in the subsurface(No multiple scattering!) Migration is an approximate solution of this linearized inverse problem

Adjoint of Born Modeling = Imaging Operator

- Migration operator (producing image) is adjoint or transpose of modeling operator(Lailly, Tarantola, Claerbout(80's)).
- Migration operator can position reflectors correctly but with possibly incorrect amplitudes.
 - + Due to the symmetry of wave-propagation with respect to time-reversal, migrating with the adjoint operator treats event kinematics correctly, and produces structurally correct images of the subsurface. It is robust to the presence of noise, or missing or inconsistent data.
 - The migration with the adjoint doesn't treat seismic amplitudes correctly. It focus on kinematics rather than amplitudes, amplitude terms are usually completely ignored, or artificially constructed so that $F^*F \approx I$
- True amplitude migration is (pseudo) inverse

Born Modeling

$$F[\mathbf{v}]\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, t; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\tau \frac{2\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t} - \tau; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}) G(\mathbf{x}, \tau; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}})$$

Born Modeling

$$F[\mathbf{v}]\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, t; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\tau \frac{2\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t} - \tau; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}) G(\mathbf{x}, \tau; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}})$$

The adjoint of F is a prestack migration operator. It is defined by

$$\int d\mathbf{x}_{s} d\mathbf{x}_{r} dt (Fr)(\mathbf{x}_{r}, t; \mathbf{x}_{s}) d(\mathbf{x}_{r}, t; \mathbf{x}_{s}) = \int d\mathbf{x} r(\mathbf{x}) (F^{*} d)(\mathbf{x})$$

Born Modeling

$$F[v]r(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, t; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\tau \frac{2r(\mathbf{x})}{v^2(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t} - \tau; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}) G(\mathbf{x}, \tau; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}})$$

The adjoint of F is a prestack migration operator. It is defined by

$$\int d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}} d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}} dt (Fr)(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, t; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}) d(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, t; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}) = \int d\mathbf{x} r(\mathbf{x}) (F^* d)(\mathbf{x})$$

Do the integral by parts shows that

$$F^*d(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2}{\mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{x})} \int d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}} d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}} dt d\tau G(\mathbf{x}, t - \tau; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}) \frac{\partial^2 G(\mathbf{x}, \tau; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}})}{\partial \tau^2} d(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, t; \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}})$$

Least Squares Inversion: Given *d*, find *m* to minimize

 $J_{LS}[m] = ||F[m] - d||^2 [+ Regularizing terms]$

Due to the local minima problem, extended model was introduced.

- Definition: the modeling of wavefields is extended to nonphysical models depending on redundant parameters.
- Extended Model $\overline{F}: \overline{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{D}$ where $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a larger model space = models depending on \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{h}

Extended Modeling(subsurface common offset):

In integral representation of F[v]r, permit r to depend on (half) offset h.

Extended Modeling and Migration

$$\bar{F}[\mathbf{v}]\mathbf{r} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{h} d\tau \, G(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, t - \tau; \mathbf{x_r}) \frac{2\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})}{\mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{x})} \, G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \tau; \mathbf{x_s})$$

$$\bar{F}^* d = \frac{2}{\mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{x})} \int d\mathbf{x_s} d\mathbf{x_r} dt d\tau \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \tau^2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \tau; \mathbf{x_s}) \partial \tau^2 \, G(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, t - \tau; \mathbf{x_r}) \, d(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s})$$

When we use it to solve the inverse problem, it needs many iterations. So we need an approximate inverse to do the preconditioning.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{K}} & \tilde{\mathbf{K}} i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{h} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \omega) \frac{\partial i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})}{\partial z} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}, \omega) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{I}} & d = \frac{32}{\pi v^{2}(\mathbf{x})} \int d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}} d\omega (-i\omega) \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}^{*}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, \omega)}{\partial z_{r}} d(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}, \omega) \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \omega)}{\partial z_{s}} \end{split}$$

Result 3(Fons ten Kroode, 2012)

 \tilde{K} and \tilde{I} are the Fourier integral operators of order 1 and -1 respectively. There exist order zero pseudo-differential operators Ψ_X and Ψ_Y , such that

$$\tilde{I} \circ \tilde{K} = \Psi_X$$
$$\tilde{K} \circ \tilde{I} = \Psi_Y$$

The operator Ψ_X acts as identity on focused space-shift-extended images. The operators Ψ_Y acts as identity on primary reflection data.

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0266-5611/28/11/115013)

$$\begin{split} (\tilde{\kappa}i)(\mathbf{x_s}, \mathbf{x_r}, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{h} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} G(\mathbf{x_r}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \omega) \frac{\partial i}{\partial z}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x_s}, \omega) \\ &= \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{h} d\tau G(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, t - \tau; \mathbf{x_r}) \frac{\partial i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})}{\partial z} G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \tau; \mathbf{x_s}) \\ \bar{F}[v]r &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{h} d\tau G(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, t - \tau; \mathbf{x_r}) \frac{2r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h})}{v^2(\mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \tau; \mathbf{x_s}) \end{split}$$

If we assume $\textit{i}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h})=\frac{2\textit{r}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h})}{v^2(x)}$, then we have

$$\bar{F} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \circ \frac{2r}{v^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \circ \tilde{K} \circ i$$

Relation: $\tilde{I} \rightarrow \bar{F}^*$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{l}d &= \frac{32}{\pi v^2(\mathbf{x})} \int d\mathbf{x_r} d\mathbf{x_s} d\omega(-i\omega) \frac{\partial G^*(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x_r}, \omega)}{\partial z_r} d(\mathbf{x_r}, \mathbf{x_s}, \omega) \frac{\partial G^*(\mathbf{x_s}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \omega)}{\partial z_s} \\ &= \frac{64}{v^2(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_r \partial z_s} \int d\mathbf{x_s} d\mathbf{x_r} dt d\tau \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \tau; \mathbf{x_s})}{\partial \tau} G(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, t - \tau; \mathbf{x_r}) d(\mathbf{x_s}, \mathbf{x_r}, t) \\ \bar{F}^* d &= \frac{2}{v^2(\mathbf{x})} \int d\mathbf{x_s} d\mathbf{x_r} dt d\tau \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \tau^2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \tau; \mathbf{x_s}) \partial \tau^2 G(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, t - \tau; \mathbf{x_r}) d(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s}) \\ &= -\frac{2}{v^2(\mathbf{x})} \int d\mathbf{x_s} d\mathbf{x_r} dt d\tau \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h}, \tau; \mathbf{x_s})}{\partial \tau} G(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}, t - \tau; \mathbf{x_r}) \frac{\partial d(\mathbf{x_r}, t; \mathbf{x_s})}{\partial t} \end{split}$$

$$-\frac{64}{\mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{x})}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_s\partial z_r}\circ \bar{F}^*\circ \int_t = \tilde{I}$$

16/28

• If the rays meet at the same place, i.e. the data focuses. Then

 $s_+ = s_- = s$, the result will equal to *I*.

- If the rays meet at the same place, i.e. the data focuses. Then $s_+ = s_- = s$, the result will equal to *I*.
- If the rays meet at different places, the result will be the ratio of slownesses. It will be bounded by the biggest slowness divided by the smallest slowness, which means identity scaled by a very small range of numbers. Even if the result isn't identity, it's not big.

- If the rays meet at the same place, i.e. the data focuses. Then $s_+ = s_- = s$, the result will equal to *I*.
- If the rays meet at different places, the result will be the ratio of slownesses. It will be bounded by the biggest slowness divided by the smallest slowness, which means identity scaled by a very small range of numbers. Even if the result isn't identity, it's not big.

$$\tilde{K} \circ \tilde{I} \simeq I$$

From the analysis above, we can get the following results:

$$\bar{F} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \circ \frac{2r}{v^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \circ \tilde{K} \circ i$$
$$-\frac{64}{v^2(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_s \partial z_r} \circ \bar{F}^* \circ \int_t = \tilde{I}$$
$$\tilde{K} \circ \tilde{I} \simeq I$$

From the analysis above, we can get the following results:

From the analysis above, we can get the following results:

Now we can get the approximate inverse

$$\bar{F}^{-1} \simeq \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \circ \frac{-64}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_s \partial z_r} \circ \bar{F}^* \circ \int \int \int_t$$

$$r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) = \bar{F}^{-1} d \simeq \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \circ \frac{-64}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_s \partial z_r} \circ \bar{F}^* \circ \int \int \int_t d(\mathbf{x}_r, t; \mathbf{x}_s)$$

O Data Preparation: Since we need to take derivative to z_s, z_r , we need four data $(d(\mathbf{x}_{s1}, \mathbf{x}_{r1}), d(\mathbf{x}_{s1}, \mathbf{x}_{r2}), d(\mathbf{x}_{s2}, \mathbf{x}_{r1}), d(\mathbf{x}_{s2}, \mathbf{x}_{r2})$

$$s_2$$
 * \land \land \land \land \land \land \land

- **2** Integrate the data: Just do the sums
- **O prestack depth migration:** Use normal RTM code
- Oerivative: Do differential three times

$$\frac{\partial^2 i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}; \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_r)}{\partial z_s \partial z_r} = \frac{i(\mathbf{x}_{s1}, \mathbf{x}_{r1}) + i(\mathbf{x}_{s2}, \mathbf{x}_{r2}) - i(\mathbf{x}_{s1}, \mathbf{x}_{r2}) - i(\mathbf{x}_{s2}, \mathbf{x}_{r1})}{\Delta z^2}$$



Migration Result

Approximate Inverse Result

Thanks for Yujin's RTM code

TRIP

Summary

TRIP

If it's true

- Approximate Inverse
- Get the amplitude right
- It's not expensive

Possible Problems

- Ten Kroode(2012) → 3D How about 2D?
 Green Function: 3D form ^{Mod.} 2D form (Creation of GRT inversion formula, William Symes,1998)
- Blow up the low frequency and kill the high frequency. (A lot of sums and differences)
- Numerical Errors

- Go through the proof, make any necessary modification for 2D.
- Implement the operator in 2D and 3D
- Replace four migrations with respect to one-way operator replace
 [∂]/_{∂z}, with the one way operator according to the reciprocal principle, same to
 [∂]/_{∂z}
- Apply this operator as a preconditioner

- Dr. William Symes
- TRIP Members
- TRIP Sponsors
- Thank you for listening