1.4 Kirchhoff Inversion
Recall: in layered case,

\[ F[v]r(h, t) \simeq A(z(h, t), h) \frac{1}{2} \frac{dr}{dz}(z(h, t)) \]

\[ F[v]d(z) \simeq -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int dh A(z, h) \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}(z, h)d(t(z, h), h) \]

\[ F[v]F[v]r(z) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \int dh \frac{dt}{dz}(z, h)A^2(z, h) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} r(z) \]

thus normal operator is invertible and you can construct approximate least-squares solution to \( F[v]r = d \):

\[ \tilde{r} \simeq (F[v]F[v])^{-1}F[v]^*d \]

Relation between \( r \) and \( \tilde{r} \): difference is smoother than either. Thus difference is small if \( r \) is oscillatory - consistent with conditions under which linearization is accurate.

Complication: \( F[v] \ast F[v] \) cannot be invertible - because \( WF(F[v] \ast F[v] r) \) generally quite a bit smaller than \( WF(r) \).

*Inversion aperture* \( \Gamma[v] \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 - 0 \): if \( WF(r) \subset \Gamma[v] \), then \( WF(F[v] \ast F[v] r) = WF(r) \) and \( F[v] \ast F[v] \) “acts invertible”. [construction of \( \Gamma[v] \) - later!]

Beylkin: with proper choice of amplitude \( b(x_r, t; x_s) \), the modified Kirchhoff migration operator

\[
F[v]^{\dagger}d(x) = \int \int \int dx_r \, dx_s \, dt \, b(x_r, t; x_s) \delta(t-\tau(x; x_s)-\tau(x; x_r))d(x_r, t; x_s)
\]

yields \( F[v]^{\dagger}F[v] r \simeq r \) if \( WF(r) \subset \Gamma[v] \).
For details of Beylkin construction: Beylkin, 1985; Miller et al 1989; Bleistein, Cohen, and Stockwell 2000; WWS MGSS notes 1998. All components are by-products of eikonal solution.

aka: Generalized Radon Transform ("GRT") inversion, Ray-Born inversion, migration/inversion, true amplitude migration, ...

Many extensions, eg. to elasticity: Bleistein, Burridge, deHoop, Lambaré, ...

Apparent limitation: construction relies on simple geometric optics (no multipathing) - how much of this can be rescued? cf. Lecture 3.
1.5 Wave equation migration

(i) Reverse time

(ii) Reverse depth
Reverse time computation of adjoint $F[v]^*$:

Start with the zero-offset case - easier, but only if you replace it with the exploding reflector model, which replaces $F[v]$ by

\[
\tilde{F}[v]r(x_s, t) = w(x_s, t), \ x_s \in X_s, 0 \leq t \leq T
\]

\[
\left( \frac{4}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) w = \delta(t) \frac{2r}{v^2}, \ w \equiv 0, \ t < 0
\]

To compute the adjoint, start with its definition: choose $d \in \mathcal{E}(X_s \times (0,T))$, so that

\[
\langle \tilde{F}[v]^* d, r \rangle = \langle d, \tilde{F}[v]r \rangle
\]

\[
= \int_{X_s} dx_s \int_0^T dt \ d(x_s, t) w(x_s, t)
\]
The only thing you know about $w$ is that it solves a wave equation with $r$ on the RHS. To get this fact into play, (i) rewrite the integral as a space-time integral:

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \int_0^T dt \int_{X_s} dx_s d(x_s, t) \delta(x - x_s) w(x, t)$$

(ii) write the other factor in the integrand as the image of a field $q$ under the (adjoint of the) wave operator (it’s self-adjoint), that is,

$$\left( \frac{4}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) q(x, t) = \int_{X_s} dx_s d(x_s, t) \delta(x - x_s)$$

so

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \int_0^T dt \left[ \left( \frac{4}{v^2(x)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) q(x, t) \right] w(x, t)$$
(iii) integrate by parts

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \int_0^T dt \left[ \left( \frac{4}{v^2(x)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) w(x, t) \right] q(x, t) \]

which works if \( q \equiv 0, t > T \) (final value condition); (iv) use the wave equation for \( w \)

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \int_0^T dt \frac{2}{v(x)^2} r(x) \delta(t) q(x, t) \]

(v) observe that you have computed the adjoint:

\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx r(x) \left[ \frac{2}{v(x)^2} q(x, 0) \right] = \langle r, \tilde{F}[v]^*d \rangle \]

i.e.

\[ \tilde{F}[v]^*d = \frac{2}{v(x)^2} q(x, 0) \]
Summary of the computation, with the usual description:

- Use that data as sources, backpropagate in time - i.e. solve the final value ("reverse time") problem

\[
\left( \frac{4}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) q(x, t) = \int_{X_s} dx_s d(x_s, t) \delta(x - x_s), \; q \equiv 0, \; t > T
\]

- read out the "image" (= adjoint output) at \( t = 0 \):

\[
\bar{F}[v]^* d = \frac{2}{v(x)^2} q(x, 0)
\]

**Note:** The adjoint (time-reversed) field \( q \) is *not* the physical field \( \delta u \) run backwards in time, contrary to some imputations in the literature.
Known as “two way reverse time finite difference migration” in geophysical literature (Whitmore, 1982) - uses full (two way) wave equation, propagates adjoint field backwards in time, generally implemented using finite difference discretization. Same as “adjoint state method”, Lions 1968, Chavent 1974 for control and inverse problems for PDEs - much earlier for control of ODEs - Lailly, Tarantola ’80s.

A slightly messier computation computes the adjoint of $F[v]$ (i.e. multioffset or prestack migration):

$$
F[v]^* d(x) = -\frac{2}{v(x)} \int dx_s \int_0^T dt \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \nabla^2 u \right)(x, t; x_s)
$$

where adjoint field $q$ satisfies $q \equiv 0, t \geq T$ and

$$
\left( \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) q(x, t; x_s) = \int dx_r d(x_r, t; x_s) \delta(x - x_r)
$$
Proof:

\[
<F[v]^* d, r> =< d, F[v]r >
\]

\[
= \int \int dx_s dx_r \int_0^T dt \ d(x_r, t; x_s) \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t}(x_r, t; x_s)
\]

\[
= \int dx_s \int dx \int_0^T dt \ \left\{ \int dx_r \ d(x_r, t; x_s) \delta(x - x_r) \right\} \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t}(x, t; x_s)
\]

\[
= \int dx_s \int dx \int_0^T dt \ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) q \right] \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t}(x, t; x_s)
\]

\[
= - \int dx_s \int dx \int_0^T dt \ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) \delta u \right] \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}(x, t; x_s)
\]
(boundary terms in integration by parts vanish because (i) $\delta u \equiv 0, t \ll 0$; (ii) $q \equiv 0, t >> 0$; (iii) both vanish for large $x$, at each $t$)

$$
= - \int dx_s \int dx \int_0^T dt \left( \frac{2r \partial^2 u \partial q}{v^2 \partial t^2 \partial t} \right) (x, t; x_s)
$$

$$
= - \int dx_s \int dx r(x) \frac{2}{v^2(x)} \int_0^T dt \left( \frac{\partial^2 u \partial q}{\partial t^2 \partial t} \right) (x, t; x_s)
$$

$$
= < r, F[v]^* d >
$$

q.e.d.
Algorithm: finite difference or finite element discretization in $x$, finite difference time stepping.

- For each $x_s$, solve wave equation for $u$ forward in $t$, record final ($t=T$) Cauchy data, also (for example) Dirichlet boundary data.

- Step $u$ and $q$ backwards in time together; at each time step, data serves as source for $q$ ("backpropagate data")

- During backwards time stepping, accumulate (approximations to)

$$Q(x) = \frac{2}{v^2(x)} \int_0^T dt \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \right) (x, t; x_s)$$

("crosscorrelate reference and backpropagated field").

- next $x_s$ - after last $x_s$, $F[v]^*d = Q$. 


Reverse depth computation of $F[v]^*$

- Claerbout, early 70’s
- zero offset version in his lectures (“swimming pool equation”)
- multioffset version: “survey sinking”, double-square-root (“DSR”) equation

Start with zero-offset. Again, assume exploding reflector model:

\[
\tilde{F}[v]r(x_s, t) = w(x_s, t), \ x_s \in X_s, 0 \leq t \leq T
\]

\[
\left(\frac{4}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2\right) w = \delta(t) \frac{2r}{v^2}, \ w \equiv 0, \ t < 0
\]

Basic idea: 2nd order wave equation permits waves to move in all directions, but waves carrying reflected energy are (mostly) moving *up*. Should satisfy a 1st order equation for wave motion in one direction.
For the moment use 2D notation $x = (x, z)$ etc. Write wave equation as evolution equation in $z$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} - \left( \frac{4}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) w = -\delta(t) \frac{2r}{v^2}$$

Suppose that you could take the square root of the operator in parentheses - call it $B$. Then the LHS of the wave equation becomes

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - B \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + B \right) w = -\delta(t) \frac{2r}{v^2}$$

so setting

$$\tilde{w} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + B \right) w$$

you get

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - B \right) \tilde{w} = -\delta(t) \frac{2r}{v^2}$$
which might be the required equation for upcoming waves.

Two major problems: (i) how the h–l do you take the square root of a PDO? (ii) what guarantees that the equation just written governs upcoming waves?

Calculus of pseudodifferential operators: recall that products of \( \Psi DOs \) are \( \Psi DOs \). Computations simple for subclass of \( \Psi DOs \) with symbols given by asymptotic expansions:

\[
p(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \leq m} p_j(x, \xi), \quad |\xi| \to \infty
\]

in which \( p_j \) is \textit{homogeneous in} \( \xi \) \textit{of degree} \( j \):

\[
p_j(x, \tau \xi) = \tau^j p_j(x, \tau \xi), \quad \tau, |\xi| \geq 1
\]
The *principal symbol* is the homogeneous term of highest degree, i.e. $p_m$ above.

Product rule for $\Psi$DOs: if

$$p^1(x, \xi) = \sum_{j \leq m_1} p^1_j(x, \xi), \quad p^2(x, \xi) = \sum_{j \leq m_2} p^2_j(x, \xi)$$

then principal symbol of $p^1(x, D)p^2(x, D)$ is $p^1_{m_1}(x, \xi)p^2_{m_2}(x, \xi)$, and there is an algorithm for computing the rest of the expansion.

In an open neighborhood $X \times \Xi$ of $(x_0, \xi_0)$, symbol of $p^1(x, D)p^2(x, D)$ depends only on symbols of $p^1, p^2$ in $X \times \Xi$. 
Consequence: if \( a(x, D) \) has an asymptotic expansion and is of order \( m \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( a_m(x_0, \xi_0) > 0 \) in \( \mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n - 0 \), then there exists \( b(x, D) \) of order \( m/2 \) with asymptotic expansion for which

\[
(a(x, D) - b(x, D)b(x, D))u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)
\]

for any \( u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( \text{WF}(u) \subset \mathcal{P} \).

Moreover, \( b_{m/2}(x, \xi) = \sqrt{a_m(x, \xi)} \), \((x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}\). Will call \( b \) a microlocal square root of \( a \).

Similar construction: if \( a(x, \xi) \neq 0 \) in \( \mathcal{P} \), then there is \( c(x, D) \) of order \(-m\) so that

\[
c(x, D)a(x, D)u - u, \ a(x, D)c(x, D)u - u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)
\]

for any \( u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( \text{WF}(u) \subset \mathcal{P} \).

Moreover, \( c_{-m}(x, \xi) = 1/a_m(x, \xi) \), \((x, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}\). Will call \( b \) a microlocal inverse of \( a \).
Application: symbol of

\[ a(x, z, D_t, D_x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{4}{v(x, z)^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} = \frac{4}{v(x, z)^2} \left( D_t^2 - D_x^2 \right) \]

is

\[ a(x, z, \tau, \xi) = \frac{4}{v(x, z)^2} \tau^2 - \xi^2 \]

For \( \delta > 0 \), set

\[ \mathcal{P}_\delta(z) = \left\{ (x, t, \xi, \tau) : \frac{4}{v(x, z)^2} \tau^2 > (1 + \delta) \xi^2 \right\} \]

Then according to the last slide, there is an order 1 \( \Psi \text{DO} \)-valued function of \( z \), \( b(x, z, D_t, D_x) \), with principal symbol

\[ b_1(x, z, \tau, \xi) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{v(x, z)^2} \tau^2 - \xi^2} = \tau \sqrt{\frac{4}{v(x, z)^2} - \frac{\xi^2}{\tau^2}}, \quad (x, t, \xi, \tau) \in \mathcal{P}_\delta(z) \]
for which $a(x, z, D_t, D_x)u \simeq b(x, z, D_t, D_x)b(x, z, D_t, D_x)u$ if $WF(u) \subset \mathcal{P}_\delta(z)$.

$b$ is the world-famous **single square root** ("SSR") operator - see Claerbout, BEI.

To what extent has this construction factored the wave operator:

\[
 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right)
 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + b(x, z, D_x, D_t)b(x, z, D_x, D_t) + \frac{\partial b}{\partial z}(x, z, D_x, D_t)
\]

**SSR Assumption:** For some $\delta > 0$, the wavefield $w$ satisfies

\[(x, z, t, \xi, \zeta, \tau) \in WF(w) \Rightarrow (x, t, \xi, \tau) \in \mathcal{P}_\delta(z) \text{ and } \zeta \tau > 0\]
This statement has a ray-theoretic interpretation (which will eventually make sense): rays carrying significant energy are nowhere horizontal. Along any such ray, $z$ decreases as $t$ increases - *coming up!*

\[ \tilde{w}(x, z, t) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) w(x, z, t) \]

\[ b(x, z, D_x, D_t) b(x, z, D_x, D_t) w \approx \left( \frac{4}{v(x, z)^2 D_t^2 - D_x^2} \right) w \]

with a smooth error, so

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) \tilde{w}(x, z, t) = -\frac{2r(x, z)}{v(x, z)^2} \delta(t) \]

\[ + i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} b(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) w(x, z, t) \]
(since $b$ depends on $z$, the $z$ deriv. does not commute with $b$).
So $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_0 + \tilde{w}_1$, where
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) \tilde{w}_0(x, z, t) = -\frac{2r(x, z)}{v(x, z)^2} \delta(t)
\]
(this is the **SSR modeling equation**)
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) \tilde{w}_1(x, z, t) = i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} b(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) w(x, z, t)
\]
Claim: $WF(\tilde{w}_1) \subset WF(w)$.

Granted this $\Rightarrow WF(\tilde{w}_0) \subset WF(w)$ also.
Upshot: SSR modeling

\[ \tilde{F}_0[v]r(x_s, z_s, t) = \tilde{w}_0(x_s, z_s, t) \]

produces the same singularities (i.e. the same waves) as exploding reflector modeling, so is as good a basis for migration.

SSR migration: assume that sources all lie on \( z_s = 0 \).

\[
\langle \tilde{F}_0[v]^* d, r \rangle = \langle d, \tilde{F}_0[v]r \rangle \\
= \int dx_s \int dt \, d(x_s, t) \tilde{w}_0(x_s, 0, t)
\]
Define the adjoint field \( q \) by

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - b(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) q(x, z, t) = d(x, t) \delta(z), \quad q(x, z, t) \equiv 0, \quad z < 0
\]

which is equivalent to solving the initial value problem

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) \right) q(x, z, t) = 0, \quad z > 0; \quad q(x, 0, t) = d(x, t)
\]

Insert in expression for inner product, integrate by parts, use self-adjointness of \( b \), get

\[
< d, \bar{F}_0[v] > = \int dx \int dz \frac{2r(x, z)}{v(x, z)^2} q(x, z, 0)
\]
whence
\[ \tilde{F}_0[v^*]d(x, z) = \frac{2}{v(x, z)^2}q(x, z, 0) \]

Standard description of this algorithm:

- downward continue data (i.e. solve for \( q \))
- image at \( t = 0 \).

The art of SSR migration: computable approximations to \( b(x, z, D_x, D_t) \)
- swimming pool operator, many successors.
Unfinished business: proof of claim


Given symbol $p(x, \xi)$, order m, with asymptotic expansion, define bicharacteristics as solutions $(x(t), \xi(t))$ of Hamiltonian system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi), \quad \frac{d\xi}{dt} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(x, \xi)$$

with $p(x(t), \xi(t)) \equiv 0$.

**Theorem:** Suppose $p(x, D)u = f$, and suppose that for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$, $(x(t), \xi(t)) \notin WF(f)$. Then either $\{(x(t), \xi(t)) : t_0 \leq t \leq t_1\} \subset WF(u)$ or $\{(x(t), \xi(t)) : t_0 \leq t \leq t_1\} \subset T^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus WF(u)$. 27
At least two distinct proofs:

- Nirenberg, 1972

Proof of claim: check that bicharacteristics for SSR operator are just upcoming rays of geom. optics for wave equation. These pass into $t < 0$ where RHS is smooth, also initial condn at large $z$ is smooth - so each ray has one “end” outside of $WF(\tilde{w}_1)$. If ray carries singularity, must pass of $WF$ of $w$, but then it’s entirely contained by $P$ of $S$ applied to $w$. $\text{q.e.d.}$
Nonzero offset ("prestack"): starting point is integral representation of the scattered field

\[ F[v]r(x_r, t; x_s) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int dx \frac{2r(x)}{v(x)^2} \int ds G(x_r, t - s; x)G(x_s, s; x) \]

By analogy with zero offset case, would like to view this as "exploding reflectors in both directions": reflectors propagate energy upward to sources and to receivers. However can’t do this because reflection location is same for both.

Bold stroke: introduce a new space variable \( y \), define

\[ \tilde{F}[v]R(x_r, t; x_s) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int \int dx \, dy \, R(x, y) \int ds G(x_r, t - s; x)G(x_s, s; y) \]

and note that \( \tilde{F}[v]R = F[v]r \) if

\[ R(x, y) = \frac{2r}{v^2} \left( \frac{x + y}{2} \right) \delta(x - y) \]
This trick decomposes $F[v]$ into two “exploding reflectors”:

\[
\tilde{F}[v] R(x_r, t; x_s) = u(x, t; x_s)|_{x=x_r}
\]

where

\[
\left( \frac{1}{v(x)^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2_x \right) u(x, t; x_s) = \int dy \, R(x, y) G(x_s, t; y)
\]

\[
\equiv w_s(x_s, t; x)
\]

(“upward continue the receivers”),

\[
\left( \frac{1}{v(y)^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2_y \right) w_s(y, t; x) = R(x, y) \delta(t)
\]

(“upward continue the sources”).
This factorization of $F[v] \ (r \mapsto R \mapsto \tilde{F}[v]R)$ leads to a reverse time computation of adjoint with - will discuss on Friday.

It’s equally possible to continue the receivers first, then the sources, which leads to

$$
\left( \frac{1}{v(y)^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla_y^2 \right) u(x_r, t; y) = \int dx \ R(x, y)G(x_r, t; x)
$$

$$
\equiv w_r(x_r, t; y)
$$

(“upward continue the sources”),

$$
\left( \frac{1}{v(x)^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla_x^2 \right) w_r(x, t; y) = R(x, y)\delta(t)
$$

(“upward continue the receivers”).
Apply reverse depth concept: as before, go 2D temporarily, \( x = (x, z_r), y = (y, z_s) \), all sources and receivers on \( z = 0 \).

**Double Square Root** ("DSR") assumption: For some \( \delta > 0 \), the wavefield \( u \) satisfies

\[
(x, z_r, t, y, z_s, \xi, \zeta_s, \tau, \eta, \zeta_r) \in WF(u) \Rightarrow \\
(x, t, \xi, \tau) \in P_\delta(z_r), (y, t, \eta, \tau) \in P_\delta(z_s), \text{ and } \zeta_r \tau > 0, \zeta_s \tau > 0,
\]

As for SSR, there is a ray-theoretic interpretation: rays from source and receiver to scattering point stay away from the vertical and decrease in \( z \) for increasing \( t \), i.e. they are all upcoming.
Since $z$ will be singled out (and eventually $R(x,y)$ will have a factor of $\delta(x,y)$), impose the constraint that

$$R(x, z, x, z_s) = \tilde{R}(x, y, z)\delta(z - z_s)$$

Define upcoming projections as for SSR:

$$\tilde{w}_s = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s} + ib(y, z_s, D_y, D_t) \right) w_s,$$

$$\tilde{w}_r = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r} + ib(x, z_r, D_x, D_t) \right) w_r,$$

$$\tilde{u} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s} + ib(y, z_s, D_y, D_t) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r} + ib(x, z_r, D_x, D_t) \right) u$$
Except for lower order commutators which we justify throwing away as before,

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s} - i b(y, z_s, D_y, D_t) \right) \tilde{w}_s = \tilde{R} \delta(z_r - z_s) \delta(t),
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r} - i b(x, z_r, D_x, D_t) \right) \tilde{w}_r = \tilde{R} \delta(z_r - z_s) \delta(t),
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r} - i b(x, z_r, D_x, D_t) \right) \tilde{u} = \tilde{w}_s
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_s} - i b(y, z_s, D_y, D_t) \right) \tilde{u} = \tilde{w}_r
\]

Initial (final) conditions are that $\tilde{w}_r, \tilde{w}_s,$ and $\tilde{u}$ all vanish for large $z$ - the equations are to be solve in decreasing $z$ ("upward continuation").
Simultaneous upward continuation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{u}(x, z, t; y, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{u}(x, z_r, t; y, z)|_{z=z_r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{u}(x, z, t; y, z_s)|_{z=z_s}
\]

\[
= [ib(x, z_r, D_x, D_t)\tilde{u} + \tilde{w}_s + ib(y, z_s, D_y, D_t)\tilde{u} + \tilde{w}_r]_{z_r=z_s=z}
\]

Since \( \tilde{w}_s(y, z, t; x, z) = \tilde{w}_r(x, z, t; y, z) = \tilde{R}(x, y, z)\delta(t) \), \( \tilde{u} \) is seen to satisfy the **DSR modeling equation**: 

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) - ib(y, z, D_y, D_t) \right) \tilde{u}(x, z, t; y, z) = 2\tilde{R}(x, y, z)\delta(t)
\]

\[
\tilde{F}[\nu] \tilde{R}(x_r, t; x_s) = \tilde{u}(x_r, 0, t; x_s, 0)
\]
Computation of adjoint follows same pattern as for SSR, and leads to

**DSR migration equation:** solve

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - ib(x, z, D_x, D_t) - ib(y, z, D_y, D_t) \right) \tilde{q}(x, y, z, t) = 0
\]

in increasing \( z \) with initial condition at \( z = 0 \):

\[
\tilde{q}(x_r, x_s, 0, t) = d(x_r, x_s, t)
\]

Then \( \bar{F}[v]^*d(x, y, z) = \tilde{q}(x, y, z, 0) \)

The physical DSR model has \( \bar{R}(x, y, z) = r(x, z)\delta(x - y) \), so final step in DSR computation of \( F[v]^* \) is adjoint of \( r \mapsto \bar{R} \):

\[
F[v]^*d(x, z) = \tilde{q}(x, x, z, 0)
\]
Standard description of DSR migration (Claerbout, IEI):

- downward continue sources and receivers (solve DSR migration equation)
- image at $t = 0$ and zero offset ($x = y$)

Another moniker: “survey sinking”: DSR field $\tilde{q}$ is (related to) the field that you would get by conducting the survey with sources and receivers at depth $z$. At any given depth, the zero-offset, time-zero part of the field is the instantaneous response to scatterers on which source = receiver is sitting, therefore constitutes an image.

As for SSR, the art of DSR migration is in the approximation of the DSR operator.
How do we get away from “simple geometric optics”, SSR, D-SR,... - all violated in sufficiently complex (and realistic) models?


$Y = \{x_s, t, x_r\}$ (time $\times$ set of source-receiver pairs) submfd of $\mathbb{R}^7$ of dim. $\leq 5$, $\Pi : T^*(\mathbb{R}^7) \to T^*Y$ the natural projection

$supp \ r \subset X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$

*Canonical relation* $C_{F_\delta[v]} \subset T^*(X) - \{0\} \times T^*(Y) - \{0\}$ describes singularity mapping properties of $F$:

$$(x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_{F_\delta[v]} \iff$$

for some $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$, $(x, \xi) \in WF(u)$, and $(y, \eta) \in WF(Fu)$
Rays of geometric optics: solutions of Hamiltonian system

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \nabla_\Xi H(X, \Xi), \quad \frac{d\Xi}{dt} = -\nabla_X H(X, \Xi)
\]

with \( H(X, \Xi) = 1 - v^2(X)|\Xi|^2 = 0 \) (null bicharacteristics).

**Characterization of \( C_F \):**

\[
((x, \xi), (x_s, t, x_r, \xi_s, \tau, \xi_r)) \in C_{F_\delta[v]} \subset T^*(X) - \{0\} \times T^*(Y) - \{0\}
\]

\( \Leftrightarrow \) there are rays of geometric optics \((X_s, \Xi_s), (X_r, \Xi_r)\) and times \(t_s, t_r\) so that

\[
\Pi(X_s(0), t, X_r(0), \Xi_s(0), \tau, \Xi_r(0)) = (x_s, t, x_r, \xi_s, \tau, \xi_r),
\]

\[
X_s(t_s) = X_r(t_r) = x, \quad t_s + t_r = t, \quad \Xi_s(t_s) + \Xi_r(t_r) || \xi
\]
Since $\Xi_s(t_s),\,\Xi_r(t_r)$ have same length, sum $= \text{bisector} \Rightarrow \text{incident ray from source } X_s,\Xi_s \text{ and reflected ray to receiver } X_r,\Xi_r \text{ make equal angles with reflector at } x \text{ with normal } \xi$.

Upshot: canonical relation of $F_\delta[v]$ simply enforces the equal-angles law of reflection.

Further, rays carry high-frequency energy, in exactly the fashion that seismologists imagine.

Finally, Rakesh’s characterization of $C_F$ is global: no assumptions about ray geometry, other than no forward scattering and no grazing incidence on the acquisition surface $Y$, are needed.
Plan of attack: recall that

$$F[v]r(x_r, t; x_s) = \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial t}(x_r, t; x_s)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 \delta u}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \delta u = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 u = \delta(t) \delta(x - x_s)$$

and $u, \delta u \equiv 0, t < 0$.

Need to understand (1) $WF(u)$, (2) relation $WF(r) \leftrightarrow WF(ru)$, (3) $WF$ of soln of WE in terms of $WF$ of RHS (this also gives (1)!).